

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

KARL TARTT, et al., )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. ) No. 3:09-cv-01179  
 ) Judge Sharp  
 WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

**ORDER**

After receiving a brief extension of time to file a response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 87), Plaintiffs request leave to file their response late and in excess of the Court's page limit. (Docket No. 110). *See* the Court's Practice and Procedure Manual ("Manual"), Sec. III.C.3. In light of Plaintiffs' request for leave to file excess pages, they will be allowed to file a 35-page response, but it must be properly spaced. *See* Rule 7.03, Local Rules of Court (requiring that "[a]ll material . . . be double spaced").<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders that:

- (1) Plaintiffs will file a Summary Judgment Response of no more than 35 pages, properly spaced, within seven (7) days of this Order's entry;
- (2) Defendant will then have seven (7) days in which to file an optional reply of no more than ten (10) pages.

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KEVIN H. SHARP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> It should not be too difficult of a task to sharpen Plaintiffs' briefing in order to comply with the Local Rules. Indeed, cutting extraneous footnotes could save approximately one page.